# United States Patent and Trademark Office

7.5

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/659,948	09/12/2000	Richard T. Antony	SAIC0084	6163
	7590 01/12/2007 STOCKTON LLP	EXAMINER		
607 14TH STR	EET, N.W.		DAY, HERNG DER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2128	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	ZHTM	01/12/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/659,948	ANTONY, RICHARD T.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Herng-der Day	2128		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a swill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	CATION. reply be timely filed  VTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 21-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 21-40 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2006 is/are: a) □ Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  ☐ accepted or b) ☑ object drawing(s) be held in abeyantion is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date				

Art Unit: 2128

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

- 1. This communication is in response to Applicant's Reply ("Reply") to Office Action dated October 5, 2006, mailed December 5, 2006.
- 1-1. Claims 31-40 have been added. Claims 21-40 are pending.
- 1-2. Claims 21-40 have been examined and rejected.

# Drawings

- 2. The replacement sheets received on May 10, 2006, are not acceptable and are objected to for the following reasons. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the Examiner, the Applicants will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2-1. As shown in Fig. 6, it appears that "Gets et operation and features" in step 30 should be "Get set operation and features" and "Free result rescources" in step 60 should be "Free result resources". At page 17 of the Reply mailed December 5, 2006, Applicant indicated, "Figure 6 has been corrected." However, replacement sheets have not been received.

Application/Control Number: 09/659,948 Page 3

Art Unit: 2128

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 4. Claims 21-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the inventions as disclosed in claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 4-1. Regarding claims 21-40, it appears to be directed merely to the manipulation of an abstract idea of performing Boolean operations among two regions for (boundary, boundary) indexing cell without resulting in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result.

In this instant application, what is claimed appears to be nothing more than using a computer to perform Boolean operations among two regions represented as vector tuples. In other words, the claims are directed to a "mathematical algorithm" that is not limited to a practical application, i.e., it does not produce a "useful, concrete, and tangible result."

As defined in the third edition of Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary (1997), region: 1. An area dedicated to or reserved for a particular purpose. 2. In video programming, a contiguous group of pixels that are treated as a unit. Accordingly, with the broadest reasonable interpretation, performing Boolean operations in a digital computer among two regions is not necessarily to have any correspondence to something in the real world. It is a manipulation of an abstract idea without resulting in a practical application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result. In other words, transformation of data by a machine or a machine-implemented process where the data has no correspondence to something in the real world would not produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." An "abstract idea" (e.g., a mathematical algorithm)

Art Unit: 2128

cannot be converted into a statutory "process" under § 101 by claiming it is performed on a machine.

The recited "accumulating result tuples" provides additional manipulations of an abstract idea of performing Boolean operations among two regions. Added new claims recite "displaying the result of the operation on a display of the digital computer" can provide a tangible result.

And result of the operation on a display of the digital computer" can provide a tangible result.

And result of the operation on a display of the digital computer" can provide a tangible result.

However, because the recited regions represented as vector tuples do not necessarily correspond to any "real world" system or process, claims 21-40 at least fail to recite usefulness of what was performing.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 21-40 are deemed novel and non-obvious over the prior art of record and would be allowable if the above rejections under 35 U.S.C. 101 are overcome.

# Applicant's Arguments

- **6.** Applicant argues the following:
- 6-1. Claim Rejections 35 USC §101
- (1) "The undersigned draws the Examiner's attention to Annex IV of the Guidelines for Subject Matter Eligibility, OG Date: 22 November 2005 for one basis under which the claimed subject matter (at least Claims 26-30) is patentable (bold emphasis added)." (page 18, paragraph 2, Reply).
- (2) "The subject matter of Claims 26-39 can be seen as functional descriptive material, e.g., consisting of data structures (regions represented as tuples) and computer programs which

Art Unit: 2128

impart functionality when employed as a computer component. Regions represented as tuples present a physical or logical relationship among the tuples, designed to support specific data manipulation functions such as Boolean operations." (page 19, paragraph 4, Reply).

(3) "In Claims 26-30, a computer program product including a computer readable medium and various modules is claimed. In each case, the claims explicitly call for an interrelationship between the data structure (e.g., regions represented as vector tuples), and the computer software and hardware components (e.g., a digital computer, a computer program product) which permit the data structure's functionality (e.g., vector tuple representation of the result of a Boolean operation between regions) to be realized, i.e., a tangible result." (page 20, paragraph 1, Reply).

#### Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered.
- 7-1. Applicant's arguments (1)-(3) are not persuasive. Applicant argued and referred to Annex IV of the *Guidelines for Subject Matter Eligibility*, OG Date: 22 November 2005 for one basis under which the claimed subject matter (at least Claims 26-30) is patentable. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's arguments.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) has issued Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility ("Guidelines"), 1300 Off. Gaz. Patent and Trademark Office 142 (Nov. 22, 2005). The Guidelines are intended to instruct examiners on how to apply the law to the facts.

Art Unit: 2128

In this instant application, what is claimed appears to be nothing more than using a computer to perform Boolean operations among two regions represented as vector tuples. In other words, the claims are directed to a "mathematical algorithm" that is not limited to a practical application, i.e., it does not produce a "useful, concrete, and tangible result."

State Street did not define the terms "useful, concrete and tangible." The Examiner presumes "useful" refers to the utility requirement of § 101, although utility is generally considered a separate requirement from the eligible subject matter ("process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter") requirement. See Robert L. Harmon, Patents and the Federal Circuit 40 (4th ed. Bureau of National Affairs, Inc. 1998) ("It may be useful to think of eligibility as a precondition for patentability, and of utility as one of the three fundamental conditions for patentability, together with novelty ... and nonobviousness ...."); Lundgren, 76 USPQ2d at 1395-96.

Accordingly, it may be useful to think of the *Guidelines for Subject Matter Eligibility* as a precondition for patentability. However, because the recited regions represented as vector tuples do not necessarily correspond to any real world system or process, claims 21-40 fail to recite a practical application producing a "useful, concrete and tangible result" and are not patentable subject matter.

# Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Herng-der Day whose telephone number is (571) 272-3777. The Examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 17:30.

Art Unit: 2128

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist: (571) 272-2100.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Kamini S. Shah can be reached on (571) 272-2279. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Herng-der Day December 28, 2006

> KAMINI SHAH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER